

How can existing MCDA software support sustainability assessment?

Laura Mesa Estrada, Martina Haase, Manuel Baumann, Marco Cinelli



KIT - The Research University in the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu

Agenda





Motivation



Sustainability is a complex decision making problem

- Multiple conflicting criteria
- Complex relations
- Many social and political interests
- High level of uncertainty
- Many different decision makers and stakeholders



Operationalization of sustainability assessment using MCDA methods.

Motivation



Sustainability is a complex decision making problem

- Multiple conflicting criteria
- Complex relations
- Many social and political interests
- High level of uncertainty
- Many different decision makers and stakeholders



Operationalization of sustainability assessment using MCDA methods.

Which software would be the best choice?

Objective



Identify MCDA software strengths and weaknesses for applications in sustainability assessment (SA).





What are our needs (criteria)?

A. Applications of MCDA in SA using a software

C. Free MCDA software

What are the options?

B. MCDA methods capabilities for SA



	A. Applications of MCDA in SA using a software	B. MCDA methods capabilities for SA	
Stage 1: Development of criteria to assess MCDA- software	Literature review Screening/ sorting and grouping of motivations	MCDA methods capabilities for SA Screening criteria from selected literature	Overlap and eligibility analysis Definition of criteria and domains
Stage 2: Selection of MCDA software sample	Identification and characterization of MCDA software	Eligibility of MCDA software	C. Free MCDA software
Stage 3: Assessment and recommendations	Assessment of selected MCDA software	Recommendations: road map	



Stage 1: Development of	Literature review	MCDA methods capabilities for SA	Overlap and eligibility analysis
criteria to assess MCDA- software	Screening/ sorting and grouping of motivations	Screening criteria from selected literature	Definition of criteria and domains

Motivations	Articles	Year	No.	Groups	Frequency (motivations/ group)	85 articles
 it was possible to evaluate the performance of criteria/sub-criteria using a range of analytical methods With the purpose of simplification of 	lacovidou E., Voulvoulis N. fWencki K., Thane V.,	2018				219
2 when the purpose of simplification of the assessment in the initial phase of planning that the tool is designed for, input values between minima and maxima are determined by linear interpolation, accepting inaccuracies in the scale of values, at least for some of the criteria proposed.	fBecker D., Kramer K., Sattig I., Lischeid G., Zimmermann M.	2020	1	Assessment of alternatives per criterion (performances)	2	statements related to motivations for use of software
3 web platform available for stakeholders to introduce the characteristics of the project (share and communicate results)	Riera Perez M.G., Rey E.	2013	2	Communication of results (stakeholders)	1	48 groups of motivations



Stage 1: Development of criteria to assess MCDA- software	Literature review Screening/ sorting and grouping of motivations	MCDA methods capabilities for SA Screening criteria from selected literature	Overlap and eligibility analysis Definition of criteria and domains	
Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis and Sustainable Development Chaper 19125-1267 Citethischapter	Ecological Indicators Image: State of the potentials of multi criteria decision analysis methods to conduct sustainability assessment Assessin multi-crimethode literature Marco Cinelli A B, Stuart R. Coles, Kerry Kirwan Axel Lindfors B	Avironmental and anability Indicators (me 12, December 2021, 100149)	Image: Second	
<text><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></text>	ELSE-VIER European Journal of Operational Research Valume 302, ISsue 2, 16 October 2022, Pages 633-651 Decision Support Recommending multiple criteria decision analysis methods with a new taxonomy-based decision support system Marco Cinelli ^a A. Mikosz Kadziński ^a G. Grzegorz Miebs ^a G. Michael Gonzalez ^k B., Roman Stowiński ^a S.	Decision-Making Decision-Making belem characteristics Suitable MCDA methods Method 1: Weighted sum with min/max normalization Multi-Att MCDA metho	ng Deterministic evaluation scales Method 2: tribute Value Theory xds	

F

10



Stage 1: Development of criteria to assess MCDA- software	Li Screening/ motivation	terature review sorting and grouping of s	MCDA methods cap Screening criteria literatu	pabilities for SA from selected re	Overlap and eligibility analysis Definition of criteria and domains	
	Domain	Criteria	Description	Strong (S)	Moderate (M)	Weak (W)
8 domains	ssibility	1.1 Ease of use	Degree of MCDA knowledge required to use the software.	The help system is integrated as Contextual Help: This type of help provides information relevant to the task or feature the user is currently using.	Help systems are integrated into the software's user interface (UI). They can be accessed through menus, buttons, or shortcuts within the software.	Help systems are NOT integrated into the software's user interface (UI). They can be accessed through menus, buttons, or shortcuts within the software.
29	and acces	1.2 Software customizability	Type of permissions given to the user of the software, i.e. view, modify, and distribute.	free-software licence: Th source code is freely available to the public	e Options for extending the software are available e.g. creation of plug-ins	Non-free software licence: no options available for extending/ customizing software capabilities
criteria	ability	1.3 Language inclusivity	Capability to support several languages	Multilingual support (including English)	Only English	Only other language (no English included)
[]	1. Applic	1.4 Personal information requirements	Type of personal data required to get access to the software.	Software is free and publicly available online without restrictions	Software is free and publicly available online with registration for full functionality	Software not available online
		1.5 Interoperability	Ability of the software to exchange infromation with e.g. external libraries, frameworks, or data sources.	Import AND export forma available including Excel	tsOnly import OR only export formats	Not possible
February 10, 2025		Laura Me	esa Estrada		98 th E	WG-MCDA Catania





Stage 2: Selection of MCDA	Identification and characterization of	Eligibility of MCDA software
software sample	MCDA software	

List of software 1	Literature review (Stage 1) (n= 53)
List of software 2	Selected inventories/reviews on MCDA software (n=40)
List of software 3	Google search (n= 18)

111 MCDA software

Source No	Author	Title
1	Weistroffer and Li (2016)	Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis Software
2	Mustajoki and Marttunen (2013)	Comparison of Multi-Criteria Decision Analytical Software-Searching for ideas for developing a new EIA-specific multi-criteria software.
3	Beekman (2020)	Decision Analysis Software Survey (OR/MS Today)
4	International Society on MCDM (2024)	Software related to MCDM
5	Mohamad and Selamat (2018)	An analysis of rough set-based application tools in the decision- making process.
6	Moreno-Calderón, Tong, and Thokala (2020)	dMulti-criteria Decision Analysis Software in Healthcare Priority Setting: A Systematic Review.
7	Cinelli, Spada, et al. (2021)	MCDA Index Tool: an interactive software to develop indices and rankings.
8	Huang 2024	MCDA Calculator: A Streamlined Decision Support System for Multi Criteria Decision Analysis

Stage 2: Selection of MCDA

Methodology

software sample

List of software 1

List of software 2

List of software 3

Identification and characterization of **MCDA software**

Literature review (Stage 1) (n=53)

Selected inventories/reviews on

MCDA software (n=40)

Google search (n = 18)

Free Active version released after 2019

 With user interface and executable file

Eligibility of MCDA software

Literature review (Stage 1) (n=3)

Selected inventories/reviews on

MCDA software (n=8)

Google search (n=7)

Sample = **18** MCDA software



111 MCDA software

14 February 10, 2025

Laura Mesa Estrada

98th EWG-MCDA Catania



Recommendations: road map

Assessment of selected MCDA



Stage 3: Assessment and







Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Results

- Most of software is designed for users with a level of expertise in MCDA.
- Important amount of open-source software (customizable)
- Only one software allows simultaneous comparison of results with different configurations (learning dimension)

Results





Results





- Most of the software are specialized in only one type of aggregation.
- Most of the software support precise weights.
- Only one software could model interactions between criteria



Assessment of selected MCDA

software

Results

Stage 3: Assessment and

recommendations

- Limited capability of the software to support stakeholder integration and output variability analysis (OVA)
- Only a few software could support stakeholders integration, mostly weighting.
- Most of software handle OVA of input data, mostly weights.
- None of the software can support uncertainty analysis of the model.



Recommendations: road map













Stage 3: Assessment ar recommendations	Assessment of selected MCDA software	Recom	nmendations: road map
0	Easy wins	2	2 6.4 Uncertainty: model, 100.0 Hard wins
100.0	8.1 Learning dimension, 94.4 6.2 Sensitivity analysis: n	nodel.	4.7 Interactions between criteria, 94.45.1 Problem structuring (groups), 94.4
	88.9	,	3.1 Problem structuring
			Weights elicitation, 83.3
(%)			4.1 Type of oggregation of multiple
ess			2.1 Problem statement , 77.8
Veakn	8.2 Interpretation of results, 61.1 1.1 Ease of use, 61.1		4.2 Comparison of performances, 55.6
>		114	2.3 Evaluation of alternatives on the criteria , 55.6 4.5 Per-criterion pairwise comparison thresholds , 55.6
50.0	1.2 Software customizat 50.0	oility,	2.2 Criteria structure, 50.0 Effort
February 10, 2025	Laura Mes	a Estrada	a 98 th EWG-MCDA Catar

Conclusions



• There is no single free MCDA software that fullfills all the capabilities needed for SA.

Strengths:

- Free, accessible and robust MCDA software developed by members of the MCDA community.
- Different types of problem statements and aggregations can be modeled with free MCDA software.

Weaknesses:

- Only few software support problem structuring, stakeholders integration and output variability analysis.
- Low flexibility in some features e.g. most of the software can model only one type of problem statement.

Recommendations

- Interoperability: Each MCDA software brings unique features that could complement each other.
- Connecting software users (researchers) and software developers as a strategy for strengthening software capabilities and accesibility.